

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

COURT OF APPEAL, FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION ONE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

NELSON BRADFORD STIEGMAN,

Defendant and Appellant.

D065955

(Super. Ct. No. SCD250339)

APPEAL from a judgment of the Superior Court of San Diego County,
Timothy R. Walsh, Judge. Affirmed.

Patrick J. Hennessey, Jr., under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for
Defendant and Appellant.

No appearance by Plaintiff and Respondent.

Nelson Bradford Stiegman entered into a plea agreement. Pursuant to the plea agreement Stiegman pleaded guilty to three counts of assault with force likely to produce great bodily injury (Pen. Code,¹ § 245, subd. (a)(4)). Stiegman also admitted personally

¹ All further statutory references are to the Penal Code unless otherwise specified.

inflicting great bodily injury during the commission of the offenses (§ 12022.7, subd. (b)). In return for his admissions Stiegman was promised a stipulated sentence of seven years in prison.

Stiegman was sentenced to the low term of two years on count 3 plus a consecutive five-year term for the great bodily injury enhancement for a total of seven years. Concurrent sentences were imposed on the remaining counts. The court awarded 241 days of custody and conduct credits consistent with the probation officer's recommendations.

Stiegman filed a timely notice of appeal and obtained a certificate of probable cause from the trial court. (§ 1237.5.)

Appellate counsel has filed a brief pursuant to *People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436 (*Wende*), indicating he has not been able to find any reasonably arguable issues for reversal on appeal. Counsel requests this court to review the record for error as required by *Wende*. We offered Stiegman the opportunity to file his own brief on appeal but he has not responded.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

In his guilty plea Stiegman admitted assaulting three separate people with force likely to cause great bodily injury. He also admitted that he personally inflicted great bodily injury on each of the victims.

DISCUSSION

As we have previously noted, appellate counsel has filed a brief pursuant to *Wende, supra*, 25 Cal.3d 436. Pursuant to *Anders v. California* (1967) 386 U.S. 738

(*Anders*), counsel has identified possible but not reasonably arguable issues for reversal to aid the court in its review of the record:

1. Whether Stiegman was sentenced in accordance with the plea agreement?
2. Whether Stiegman was given all custody and conduct credits to which he was entitled?

We have reviewed the entire record in accordance with *Wende, supra*, 25 Cal.3d 436 and *Anders, supra*, 386 U.S. 738. We have been unable to find any reasonably arguable issue for reversal on appeal. Competent counsel has represented Stiegman on this appeal.

DISPOSITION

The judgment is affirmed.

HUFFMAN, J.

WE CONCUR:

McCONNELL, P. J.

O'ROURKE, J.